

Sources of ethical obligations in international arbitration

JULY 19, 2021 1 MIN READ



Related Expertise

- [Domestic and International Arbitration](#)

Authors: [Sonia Bjorkquist](#), [Sarah Firestone](#)

When participating in international arbitration, counsel can be subject to a multiplicity of obligations from their home jurisdiction, the seat of arbitration and/or host country, as well as relevant procedural rules and rules of fairness. In addition, soft law norms and best practices in the form of principles and guidelines have emerged. Familiarity with these sources helps to protect counsel and clients, and the integrity of the legal profession and arbitral process generally.

In this article, Osler partner Sonia Bjorkquist, National Chair of the firm's [Litigation](#) practice, and Litigation associate Sarah Firestone, who both practice in the firm's [Domestic and International Arbitration](#) Group, provide insight into the ethical obligations that apply to Canadian counsel in international arbitrations.

Originally written as a Practice Note for the LexisNexis Practical Guidance subscription service, this article covers:

- three sources of ethical obligations and best practices
- differences between “Hard” obligations and “Soft” best practices
- rules of professional conduct
- conflicting moral codes or obligations, often called “Double Deontology”
- regional and international arbitration rules and guidelines

If you have a subscription to Practical Guidance by LexisNexis, access [Sources of ethical obligations in international arbitration](#).